

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GARY A. FRYE

COMPLAINANT

v.

LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY

DEFENDANT

CASE NO. 94-195

ORDER TO SATISFY OR ANSWER

Louisville Gas and Electric Company ("LG&E") is hereby notified that it has been named as defendant in a formal complaint filed on May 11, 1994, a copy of which is attached hereto.

Pursuant to 807 KAR 5:001, Section 12, LG&E is HEREBY ORDERED to satisfy the matters complained of or file a written answer to the complaint within 10 days from the date of service of this Order.

Should documents of any kind be filed with the Commission in the course of this proceeding, the documents shall also be served on all parties of record.

Done at Frankfort, Kentucky, this 23rd day of May, 1994.

PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION


Chairman


Vice Chairman


Commissioner

ATTEST:


Executive Director

~~Change~~

COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

RECEIVED

MAY 11 1994

PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

In the Matter of:

GARY A. FRYE
(Your Full Name)
COMPLAINANT

VS.

Louisville Gas and Electric Co.
(Name of Utility)
DEFENDANT

94-195

C O M P L A I N T

The complaint of GARY A. FRYE respectfully shows:
(Your Full Name)

(a) GARY A. FRYE
(Your Full Name)

116 Country View Dr. Taylorsville, Ky. 40071
(Your Address)

(b) Louisville Gas and Electric Co.
(Name of Utility)

P.O. Box 32010 Louisville, Ky. 40232
(Address of Utility)

(c) That: Louisville Gas and Electric did not fully
(Describe here, attaching additional sheets if
disclose the nature of lateral fields to all persons
necessary, the specific act, fully and clearly, or facts
involved in the Kings Church Road Contract and
that are the reason and basis for the complaint.)
did lead those involved in the contract

Continued on Next Page

Formal Complaint

GARY A. FRYE VS LOUISVILLE GAS AND ELECTRIC
(Your Name) (Utility Name)

Page 2

to believe that further groups seeking gas in the area would make the contract too large and difficult to administer. The utility then accepted contracts from these groups which greatly reduced their cost while increasing the cost for our contract. These groups will be allowed to connect up without paying their fair share.

Wherefore, complainant asks that all groups or individuals
(Specifically state the

who benefit or connect to the Kings Church Rd. extension pay their pro-rated fair share
(relief desired.)
that could reduce the cost of the extension
which benefits all the consumers and the utility.

Dated at Taylorsville, Kentucky, this 8th day
(Your City)

of May, 1994.
(Month)

GARY A. FRYE
(Your Signature)

(Name and address of attorney, if any)